

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,770	12/02/2005	Debashish Datta	11336.1003USWO	1714	
53425 7590 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BERCH, MARK L		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,770 DATTA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit /Mark L. Berch/ 1624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/02/2005

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/540,770

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Construction

The IPER in this application has a paragraph titled "Obvious error", in which it is pointed out that Scheme C in EP791597 is a reaction which is basically the same as appears in Scheme –IV in this case. The prior art reaction gives the product with an oxygen between the C(O) and the SO₂. The examiner agrees that the Scheme-IV reaction appears to be in error. It would proceed by the elimination of HOCl, and attaches to the electropositive C(O) an electropositive SO₂. Both of these things are very unlikely, although not impossible. The case has been searched both ways.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As noted above, the structure of Formula I is likely to be wrong. In order to fix this, applicants must a) present evidence that there is an extra oxygen and b) correct the formula wherever it appears.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. The limitation of "easily removable" is unclear. Where is the line between that and a protecting group which is removable only with some difficulty? Likewise for "easily hydrolysable"?

- 2. Claim 2 needs a definition for Formula I.
- Regarding claim 3, 12 and 7, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because
 it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed
 invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. Likewise for the "preferably" of claim 9 and 14.
- 5. The intended meaning for "silyl" is unclear. Silyl is SiH₃, the group formed by the removal of a H from Silane, SiH₄ and is a term analogous to methyl, CH₃. It does not appear likely that such a group is intended.
- 6. The definition of R4 is unduly functional. Useful for what?
- 7. The R3 definition in claim 5 is garbled. It says, "R3 ... together with the COO group to which R3 is attached is an ester or an alkali or alkaline earth metal". The "ester" part of that is correct, but COOR3 cannot be an alkali metal.

Specification

Formula I must be fixed as indicated above if it is incorrect.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Mark L. Berch/ whose telephone number is 571-272-0663.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,770 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

3/27/2008